

AN EXTENDED SCHUR'S LEMMA AND ITS APPLICATION

NUMATA, YASUhide

ABSTRACT. The Springer modules have a combinatorial property called “coincidence of dimensions,” i.e., the Springer modules are naturally decomposed into submodules with common dimensions. Morita and Nakajima proved the property by giving modules with common dimensions whose induced modules are isomorphic to the submodules of Springer modules. They proved that the induced modules are isomorphic to the submodules, by showing the coincidence of their characters. Our aim is to construct isomorphisms between the induced modules and their corresponding submodules in a combinatorial manner. For this purpose, we show lemmas, which are equivalent to the classical Schur’s lemma in special cases. We also give a procedure to construct isomorphisms, and explicitly construct isomorphisms in the case of the Springer modules corresponding to Young diagrams of two rows.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group. In some $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded G -modules $R = \bigoplus_d R^d$, we have a phenomenon called “coincidence of dimensions,” i.e., some integers l satisfy the equations

$$\dim \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R^{il+k} = \dim \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R^{il+k'}$$

for all k and k' . We call a datum $(H(l), \{Z(k; l)\})$ a *representation-theoretical presentation* for the phenomenon if a subgroup $H(l)$ of G and $H(l)$ -modules $Z(k; l)$ satisfy

$$\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R^{il+k} \simeq \text{Ind}_{H(l)}^G Z(k; l), \quad \dim Z(k; l) = \dim Z(k'; l)$$

for all k and k' , where $\text{Ind}_{H(l)}^G Z(k; l)$ denotes the induced module.

Morita and Nakajima gave representation-theoretical presentations to coincidences of dimensions of the Springer modules R_μ [3, 4, 5, 6]. The Springer modules R_μ are graded algebras parametrized by partitions $\mu \vdash m$. As S_m -modules, R_μ are isomorphic to the cohomology rings of the variety of flags fixed by a unipotent matrix with Jordan blocks of type μ . (See [2, 7, 8]. See also [1, 9] for algebraic construction.) We recall the case where μ is an l -partition, where an l -partition means a partition whose multiplicities are divisible by l . Let $R_\mu(k; l)$ denote the submodule $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_\mu^{il+k}$ of the Springer module R_μ . In this case, we

have $\dim R_\mu(k; l) = \dim R_\mu(k'; l)$ for all k and k' , i.e., R_μ has a coincidence of dimensions. Let $H_\mu(l)$ be the semi-direct product $S_\mu \rtimes C_{\mu, l}$ of the Young subgroup S_μ and an l -th cyclic group $C_{\mu, l} = \langle a_{\mu, l} \rangle$. (See Section 3.1 for their definitions.) For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $Z_\mu(k; l) : H_\mu(l) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote one-dimensional representations of $H_\mu(l)$ mapping $a_{\mu, l}$ to ζ_l^k and $\sigma \in S_m$ to 1, where ζ_l denotes a primitive l -th root of unity. Then $(H_\mu(l), \{ Z_\mu(k; l) \})$ is a representation-theoretical presentation for this case, i.e., $R_\mu(k; l) \simeq \text{Ind}_{H_\mu(l)}^{S_m} Z_\mu(k; l)$ for all k . To prove it, Morita and Nakajima described the values of the Green polynomials at roots of unity, and showed that the characters of the submodules $R_\mu(k; l)$ coincide with those of the induced modules $\text{Ind}_{H_\mu(l)}^{S_m} Z_\mu(k; l)$ in [5].

Our motivation in this paper is to construct isomorphisms from the induced module $\text{Ind}_{H_\mu(l)}^{S_m} Z_\mu(k; l)$ to the submodule $R_\mu(k; l)$ in a combinatorial manner.

For this purpose, we consider the problem in a more general situation. In Section 2, we consider the induced module $\text{Ind}_H^G Z$ of a one-dimensional H -module Z and another realization R of $\text{Ind}_H^G Z$, where H is a subgroup of a finite group G . We show lemmas about the condition for an element of R to generate R . In special cases, these lemmas are equivalent to the classical Schur's lemma. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for a map from $\text{Ind}_H^G Z$ to R to be an isomorphism. We also give a procedure to construct isomorphisms. In Subsection 3.2, we apply the main results to the case of representation-theoretical presentations for the Springer modules corresponding to l -partitions μ . In Subsection 3.3, we explicitly give isomorphisms in the case of $\mu = (n, n)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we consider a subgroup H of a finite group G and a one-dimensional representation ζ of H . Let M be the induced module of ζ , and R a G -module isomorphic to M . We show a condition for a map from M to R to be an isomorphism of G -modules. We prove Theorems 2.4, 2.8 and 2.9 in Subsection 2.1.

Notation 2.1. Throughout this section, we use the following notation and assumption. Let K be a field. We consider representations over K . We assume the complete reducibility of representations. Let G be a finite group, ε the unit of G , H a subgroup such that $|H| \in K^\times$, Z a one-dimensional vector space over K , $\zeta : H \rightarrow K^\times$ a representation acting on Z , and $\text{Ind}_H^G Z$ the induced module of Z .

Definition 2.2. For a G -module R , $f \in R$ is said to be *generic in R* if there exists an irreducible decomposition $R = \bigoplus_\lambda \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda} S^P$ satisfying the following:

- S^P is isomorphic to $S^{P'}$ if and only if $P, P' \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda$ for some λ .

- For $P \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda$, let $f^{(P)}$ denote the image of the projection of f to the irreducible component S^P . For $P, P' \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda$, let $\psi_{P,P'}$ be an isomorphism from S^P to $S^{P'}$. Then $\{ \psi_{P,P'} f^{(P)} \mid P \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda \} \subset S^{P'}$ is K -linearly independent.

Remark 2.3. By Schur's lemma, Definition 2.2 does not depend on choices of $\psi_{P,P'}$.

We can describe a necessary and sufficient condition for an element of R to generate R as a G -module.

Theorem 2.4 (An extended Schur's lemma). *For a G -module R , $F \in R$ generates R if and only if F is generic in R .*

Remark 2.5. In the case where $R = S \oplus S$ and S is a simple G -module, this theorem is equivalent to the classical Schur's lemma.

Definition 2.6. Let M and R be G -modules. Let $e \in M$ generate M as a G -module. For $f \in R$, we define $\varphi_{e,f}$ to be the $K[G]$ -linear map such that

$$\varphi_{e,f} : M \ni e \mapsto f \in R$$

whenever it is well-defined, where $K[G]$ denotes the group ring of G .

Definition 2.7. For a representation $\zeta : H \rightarrow K^\times$, we define an element $\mathbf{A}_\zeta$ of the group ring $K[H]$ to be $\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\sigma \in H} \zeta(\sigma^{-1})\sigma$.

We can describe a necessary and sufficient condition for a map from $\text{Ind}_H^G Z$ to R to be an isomorphism.

Theorem 2.8. *Let R be a realization of $\text{Ind}_H^G Z$, $e \in Z$ a nonzero element, and ϕ a map from $\text{Ind}_H^G Z$ to R . Then ϕ is an isomorphism from $\text{Ind}_H^G Z$ to R if and only if $\phi = \varphi_{e \otimes e, \mathbf{A}_\zeta f}$ for some $\mathbf{A}_\zeta f$ which is generic in R .*

Theorem 2.9. *The induced module $\text{Ind}_H^G Z$ is isomorphic to $K[G]\mathbf{A}_\zeta$ as G -modules. For a nonzero element $e \in Z$, the map $\varphi_{e \otimes e, \mathbf{A}_\zeta}$ is an isomorphism from $\text{Ind}_H^G Z$ to $K[G]\mathbf{A}_\zeta$.*

By Theorem 2.8, constructing isomorphisms is equivalent to finding elements f such that $\mathbf{A}_\zeta f$ are generic. By the definition of generic elements, we have the following procedure to construct such elements.

Theorem 2.10. *For all elements f given by the following procedure, $\mathbf{A}_\zeta f$ are generic in R :*

- (1) Fix an irreducible decomposition $\bigoplus_\lambda \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda} S^P$ of R such that S^P is isomorphic to $S^{P'}$ if and only if $P, P' \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda$ for some λ .
- (2) Fix an element P_λ of $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$ for each λ . Let $S^\lambda = S^{P_\lambda}$.
- (3) Fix an isomorphism $\psi_P : S^\lambda \rightarrow S^P$ for each $P \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda$.
- (4) Fix a basis $\{ \Delta_Q \mid Q \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda \}$ of S^λ . Let Δ_Q^P be the image $\psi_P(\Delta_Q)$.

- (5) Fix a maximal subset $\mathcal{T}'_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ such that $\{ \mathbf{A}_\zeta \Delta_Q \mid Q \in \mathcal{T}'_\lambda \}$ is K -linearly independent.
- (6) Fix a total ordering on $\mathcal{T}'_\lambda$. Let $\{ Q_1 < Q_2 < \cdots \} = \mathcal{T}'_\lambda$.
- (7) Fix a total ordering on $\mathcal{I}_\lambda$. Let $\{ P_1 < P_2 < \cdots < P_d \} = \mathcal{I}_\lambda$.
- (8) Let $\mathcal{J}_\lambda = \{ (P_i, Q_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, d \}$.
- (9) Let f be $\sum_\lambda \sum_{(P,Q) \in \mathcal{J}_\lambda} \Delta_Q^P$.

Remark 2.11. By definition, any element $f \in R$ such that $\mathbf{A}_\zeta f$ is generic in R is obtained from the procedure in Theorem 2.10.

2.1. Proof of Main Results. In this section, we prove the main results. First, in 2.1.1, we prove Theorem 2.4, an extended Schur's lemma. Next, in 2.1.2, we show a necessary and sufficient condition for $\varphi_{e,f}$ to be injective and well-defined as a homomorphism of G -modules. Finally we prove Theorems 2.8 and 2.9.

2.1.1. Proof of Theorem 2.4. Here we prove Theorem 2.4, which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the surjectivity of φ .

Lemma 2.12. *For a simple G -module S and a subset $\{ f_1, \dots, f_n \} \subset S$,*

$$f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_n \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S$$

generates $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n S$ if and only if $\{ f_1, \dots, f_n \}$ is K -linearly independent.

Proof. First we prove the case where $n = 2$.

The case where $f_1 = 0$ or $f_2 = 0$ is clear.

Suppose that $f_1 \neq 0$ and $f_2 \neq 0$. There exists $P_1 \in K[G]$ such that $P_1(f_1 \oplus f_2) = f_1 \oplus 0$ in $S \oplus S$ if and only if $K[G] \{ f_1 \oplus f_2 \} = S \oplus S$.

If $f_1 = \alpha f_2$, then $\text{Ann}(f_1) = \text{Ann}(\alpha f_2) = \text{Ann}(f_2)$. Hence there does not exist such P_1 .

Conversely we consider the case where there does not exist such P_1 . Since this implies $P_1 f_1 = 0$ for all $P_1 \in \text{Ann}(f_2)$, we have $\text{Ann}(f_2) \subset \text{Ann}(f_1)$. Hence φ_{f_2, f_1} is a well-defined endomorphism of S . By the classical Schur's lemma, f_1 and f_2 are K -linearly dependent.

Thus we have the lemma for $n = 2$.

Next we prove the lemma in the case where $n = k$.

Assume that $f = f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus f_{k-1} \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} S$ generates $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} S$. In this case, there exists P_i such that

$$P_i f = 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0 \oplus f_i \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0$$

for each i .

If $\{ f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}, f_k \}$ is K -linearly dependent, then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{Ann}(f_i) \subset \text{Ann}(f_k).$$

Hence $K[G] \{ f \oplus f_k \} \simeq K[G] \{ f \} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} S$.

Conversely, we consider the case where $K[G] \{ f \oplus f_k \} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} S$. In this case, $\text{Ann}(g) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{Ann}(f_i)$. Since $P_i(f \oplus f_k) = 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0 \oplus f_i \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0 \oplus P_i f_k$,

$$K[G] \{ P_i(f \oplus f_k) \} \simeq K[G] \{ f_i \oplus P_i f_k \} \simeq S.$$

Since it follows from the case where $n = 2$ that there exists $\alpha_i \in K$ such that $P_i f_k = \alpha_i f_i$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} P_i f_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_i f_i.$$

Since $(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n P_i)(f \oplus f_k) = 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0 \oplus (f_k - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i)$,

$$f_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_i f_i = 0.$$

Hence $\{ f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}, f_k \}$ is K -linearly dependent.

Thus we have the lemma in the case where $n = k$. $\square$

Remark 2.13. In the case where $n = 2$, Lemma 2.12 is equivalent to the classical Schur's lemma.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $\{ S^\lambda \}$ be a family of simple G -modules which are not isomorphic to one another. For subsets $\{ f_1^\lambda, \dots, f_{m_\lambda}^\lambda \} \subset S^\lambda$,*

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda} f_1^\lambda \oplus \cdots \oplus f_{m_\lambda}^\lambda \in \bigoplus_{\lambda} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_\lambda} S^\lambda$$

generates $\bigoplus_{\lambda} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_\lambda} S^\lambda$ if and only if each subset $\{ f_1^\lambda, \dots, f_{m_\lambda}^\lambda \}$ is K -linearly independent.

Proof. By Lemma 2.12, $f_1^\lambda \oplus \cdots \oplus f_{m_\lambda}^\lambda$ generates $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_\lambda} S^\lambda$ if and only if $\{ f_1^\lambda, \dots, f_{m_\lambda}^\lambda \} \subset S^\lambda$ is K -linearly independent. Since S^λ is not isomorphic to $S^{\lambda'}$ for $\lambda \neq \lambda'$,

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda} f_1^\lambda \oplus \cdots \oplus f_{m_\lambda}^\lambda \in \bigoplus_{\lambda} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_\lambda} S^\lambda$$

generates $\bigoplus_{\lambda} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_\lambda} S^\lambda$ if and only if each subset $\{ f_1^\lambda, \dots, f_{m_\lambda}^\lambda \} \subset S^\lambda$ is K -linearly independent. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. This follows from the definition of generic elements and Lemma 2.14. $\square$

2.1.2. *Proofs of Theorems 2.8 and 2.9.* Here we show Lemma 2.15, which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for $\varphi_{e,f}$ to be injective and well-defined as a homomorphism of G -modules. Then we prove Theorems 2.8 and 2.9.

Lemma 2.15. *Let G be a group, H a subgroup of G , and $\zeta : G \rightarrow K^\times$ a one-dimensional representation. For a G -module V ,*

$$\{ v \in V \mid \sigma v = \zeta(\sigma)v \text{ for all } \sigma \in H \} = \{ \mathbf{A}_\zeta v \mid v \in V \}.$$

Proof. For $v \in \{ v \in V \mid \sigma v = \zeta(\sigma)v \text{ for all } \sigma \in H \}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_\zeta v &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\sigma \in H} \zeta(\sigma^{-1}) \sigma v \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\sigma \in H} \zeta(\sigma^{-1}) \zeta(\sigma) v \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\sigma \in H} v \\ &= v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $v \in \{ \mathbf{A}_\zeta v \mid v \in V \}$.

For $\tau \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \mathbf{A}_\zeta v &= \tau \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\sigma \in H} \zeta(\sigma^{-1}) \sigma v \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\sigma \in H} \zeta(\sigma^{-1}) \tau \sigma v \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\sigma \in H} \zeta(\sigma^{-1}) \zeta(\tau^{-1}) \zeta(\tau) \tau \sigma v \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\sigma' \in H} \zeta(\sigma'^{-1}) \zeta(\tau) \sigma' v \\ &= \zeta(\tau) \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\sigma' \in H} \zeta(\sigma'^{-1}) \sigma' v \\ &= \zeta(\tau) \mathbf{A}_\zeta v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbf{A}_\zeta v \in \{ v \in V \mid \sigma v = \zeta(\sigma)v \text{ for all } \sigma \in H \}$. Thus we have

$$\{ v \in V \mid \sigma v = \zeta(\sigma)v \text{ for all } \sigma \in H \} = \{ \mathbf{A}_\zeta v \mid v \in V \}.$$

□

Remark 2.16. For any ζ , the operator $\mathbf{A}_\zeta$ is a projection, i.e., $\mathbf{A}_\zeta^2 = \mathbf{A}_\zeta$.

Now we prove Theorems 2.8 and 2.9.

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Let ϕ be a homomorphism from $\text{Ind}_H^G Z$ to R . Since Z is one-dimensional, ϕ is determined by $\phi(\varepsilon \otimes e)$. By Lemma 2.15, the homomorphism $\varphi_{\varepsilon \otimes e, \mathbf{A}_\zeta f}$ is well-defined. Conversely, if we take

g which can not be written as $\mathbf{A}_\zeta f$, then $\varphi_{\varepsilon \otimes e, g}$ is not an isomorphism. Hence we have $\phi = \varphi_{\varepsilon \otimes e, \mathbf{A}_\zeta f}$ for some f .

Now $\varphi_{\varepsilon \otimes e, \mathbf{A}_\zeta f}$ is well-defined, and $\text{Ind}_H^G Z$ is isomorphic to R . Since R is finite dimensional, it is enough to prove the surjectivity $\varphi_{\varepsilon \otimes e, \mathbf{A}_\zeta f}$. By Theorem 2.4, $K[G] \{ \mathbf{A}_\zeta f \} = R$ if and only if $\mathbf{A}_\zeta f$ is generic in R . Hence $\varphi_{\varepsilon \otimes e, \mathbf{A}_\zeta f}$ is surjective if and only if $\mathbf{A}_\zeta f$ is generic in R . Therefore we have Theorem 2.8. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.9. By Lemma 2.15, $K\mathbf{A}_\zeta$ is isomorphic to Z as H -modules. By definition, $\tau K\mathbf{A}_\zeta = \tau' K\mathbf{A}_\zeta$ if and only if $\tau H = \tau' H$. Hence we have Theorem 2.9. $\square$

3. APPLICATION

In this section, we apply the main results to the case of the Springer modules.

In Subsection 3.1, we prepare some basic notation. In Subsection 3.2, we rewrite the main results for the case of representation-theoretical presentations for the Springer modules R_μ corresponding to l -partitions μ . Moreover, in Subsection 3.3, we explicitly describe isomorphisms in the case where $\mu = (n, n)$.

3.1. Notation. We identify a partition $\mu = (\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots)$ of m with its Young diagram $\{ (i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid 1 \leq j \leq \mu_i \}$ with m boxes. If μ is a Young diagram with m boxes, we write $\mu \vdash m$ and identify a Young diagram μ with the array of m boxes having left-justified rows with the i -th row containing μ_i boxes; for example,

$$(2, 2, 1) = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \\ \hline \end{array} \vdash 5.$$

For an integer l , a Young diagram μ is called an l -partition if multiplicities $m_i = |\{ k \mid \mu_k = i \}|$ of i are divisible by l for all i .

We call a map T a *numbering on a Young diagram* $\mu \vdash m$ if T is a bijection $\mu \ni (i, j) \mapsto T_{i,j} \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We call a map T a *semi-standard tableau on a Young diagram* $\mu \vdash m$ with *weight* $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots)$ if $T : \mu \ni (i, j) \mapsto T_{i,j} \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ satisfies $T_{i,j} < T_{i+1,j}$, $T_{i,j} \leq T_{i,j+1}$ and $|T^{-1}(\{k\})| = w_k$ for all i, j, k . A numbering which is a semi-standard tableau is called a *standard tableau*. We identify a map T from a Young diagram μ to $\mathbb{N}$ with a diagram putting each $T_{i,j}$ on the box in the (i, j) position; for example,

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 1 \\ \hline 5 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

For $\mu \vdash m$, t_μ denotes the numbering that maps $(t_\mu)_{i,j} = j + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \mu_k$, i.e., a numbering obtained by putting numbers from 1 to m on boxes

of μ from left to right in each row, starting in the top row and moving to the bottom row. For example,

$$t \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

For $\mu \vdash m$, S_μ denotes the Young subgroup

$$S_{\{1,2,\dots,\mu_1\}} \times S_{\{\mu_1+1,\mu_1+2,\dots,\mu_1+\mu_2\}} \times \cdots.$$

We define the element $\mathbf{S}_\mu$ of the group ring $\mathbb{C}[S_m]$ to be

$$\frac{1}{|S_\mu|} \sum_{\sigma \in S_\mu} \sigma.$$

Let T be a numbering on an l -partition $\mu \vdash m$. We define $a_{T,l}$ to be the product

$$\prod_{(li+1,j) \in \mu} (T_{li+1,j}, T_{li+2,j}, \dots, T_{li+l,j})$$

of m/l cyclic permutations of length l . For example, $a_{t_{(2,2,1,1)},2} = (13)(24)(56)$. We write $a_{\mu,l}$ for $a_{t_{\mu,l}}$. We define $C_{\mu,l}$ to be the l -th cyclic group $\langle a_{\mu,l} \rangle$. We define $H_\mu(l)$ to be the semi-direct product $S_\mu \rtimes C_{\mu,l}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $Z_\mu(k;l) : H_\mu(l) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote one-dimensional representations of $H_\mu(l)$ that maps $a_{\mu,l}$ to ζ_l^k and $\sigma \in S_\mu$ to 1, where ζ_l denotes a primitive root of unity.

We define the element $\mathbf{C}_{\mu,k,l} \in \mathbb{C}[S_m]$ to be

$$\frac{1}{l} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}} \zeta_l^{-kj} a_{\mu,l}^j.$$

We also define $\mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l} \in \mathbb{C}[S_m]$ to be

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mu,k,l} \mathbf{S}_\mu.$$

Remark 3.1. By definition, $\mathbf{A}_{1_{S_\mu}} = \mathbf{S}_\mu$, and $\mathbf{A}_{Z_\mu(k,l)} = \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}$, where 1_{S_μ} denotes the trivial representation of S_μ .

For $\mu \vdash m$, we define an S_m -module $R_\mu(k;l)$ to be

$$\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_\mu^{il+k},$$

where R_μ^{il+k} is the component of degree $(il+k)$ of the Springer module R_μ .

Remark 3.2. By [3], $\text{Ind}_{H_\mu(l)}^{S_m} Z_\mu(k;l)$, $\mathbb{C}[S_m] \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}$ and $R_\mu(k;l)$ are isomorphic to one another.

3.2. Application. In this section, we apply the main results to the case of the Springer modules R_μ corresponding to l -partitions μ . We rewrite the main results for $\text{Ind}_{H_\mu(l)}^{S_m} Z_\mu(k; l)$, $\mathbb{C}[S_m] \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}$, and $R_\mu(k; l)$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let μ be an l -partition of m . Then $\varphi_{\mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}f}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathbb{C}[S_m] \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}$ to $R_\mu(k; l)$ if and only if $\mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}f$ is generic in $R_\mu(k; l)$.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let μ be an l -partition of m . Then $\varphi_{\varepsilon \otimes e, \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}}$ is an isomorphism from $\text{Ind}_{H_\mu(l)}^{S_m} Z_\mu(k; l)$ to $\mathbb{C}[S_m] \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}$.*

Theorem 3.5. *Let μ be an l -partition of m . Then $\varphi_{\varepsilon \otimes e, \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}f}$ is an isomorphism from $\text{Ind}_{H_\mu(l)}^{S_m} Z_\mu(k; l)$ to $R_\mu(k; l)$ if and only if $\mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}f$ is generic in $R_\mu(k; l)$.*

Remark 3.6. It also follows from the proofs of the main results that any isomorphism between these modules is of such a form.

We also have a procedure to get generic elements.

Theorem 3.7. *For all elements f given by the following procedure, $\mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}f$ are generic in $R_\mu(k; l)$:*

- (1) Let $\bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash m} \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda} S^P$ be an irreducible decomposition of $R_\mu(k; l)$ such that S^P is isomorphic to the Specht module S^λ for $P \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda$.
- (2) Let ψ_P be an isomorphism from S^λ to S^P for $P \in \mathcal{I}_\lambda$.
- (3) Let Δ_Q^P be the image $\psi_P(\Delta_Q)$ of the Specht polynomial Δ_Q .
- (4) Let $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ be the set of standard tableaux on λ .
- (5) Let $\mathcal{T}'_\lambda$ be a maximal subset of $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ such that $\{ \mathbf{S}_\mu \Delta_Q \mid Q \in \mathcal{T}'_\lambda \}$ is $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independent.
- (6) Let $\mathcal{T}''_\lambda$ be a maximal subset of $\mathcal{T}'_\lambda$ such that $\{ \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l} \Delta_Q \mid Q \in \mathcal{T}''_\lambda \}$ is $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independent. ■
- (7) Let $\mathcal{T}''_\lambda = \{ Q_1, Q_2, \dots \}$. Let $\mathcal{I}_\lambda = \{ P_1, P_2, \dots, P_d \}$. Let $\mathcal{J}_\lambda = \{ (P_i, Q_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, d \}$.
- (8) Let f be $\sum_{\lambda \vdash m} \sum_{(P,Q) \in \mathcal{J}_\lambda} \Delta_Q^P$.

Hence

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}f} : \mathbb{C}[S_m] \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l} \ni \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l} \mapsto \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}f \in R_\mu(k; l)$$

and

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon \otimes e, \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}f} : \text{Ind}_{H_\mu(l)}^{S_m} Z_\mu(k; l) \ni \varepsilon \otimes e \mapsto \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}f \in R_\mu(k; l)$$

are isomorphisms of S_m -modules.

Remark 3.8. In Theorem 3.7, we may replace Specht polynomials by some other bases. We may also skip Step 5.

Remark 3.9. Any generic element $\mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,l}f$ is obtained by the procedure in Theorem 3.7.

Example 3.10. We consider the case where $\mu = (1, 1, 1)$. In this case, R_μ is the coinvariant algebra of S_3 . We can decompose R_μ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_\mu &= R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus R_3, \\ R_\mu^0 &= \mathbb{C} \left\{ \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}, \\ R_\mu^1 &= \mathbb{C} \left\{ \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}, \\ R_\mu^2 &= \mathbb{C} \left\{ \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}, \\ R_\mu^3 &= \mathbb{C} \left\{ \Delta \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where Δ_Q^P is the image of the higher Specht polynomial introduced in [10]. Hence we have the decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} R_\mu(0; 3) &= \mathbb{C} \left\{ \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \oplus \mathbb{C} \left\{ \Delta \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}, \\ R_\mu(1; 3) &= \mathbb{C} \left\{ \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}, \\ R_\mu(2; 3) &= \mathbb{C} \left\{ \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For a standard tableau $P \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda$, the map from the Specht module S^λ to $\mathbb{C} \{ \Delta_Q^P \mid Q \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda \}$ that maps the Specht polynomial Δ_Q to the higher Specht polynomial Δ_Q^P for $Q \in \mathcal{T}_\lambda$ is an isomorphism. In this case, $\mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,3} = \frac{1}{3}(\varepsilon + \omega^k(123) - \omega^{2k}(132))$, where ω is ζ_3 . By direct calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,0,3} \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} &= \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \\ \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,0,3} \Delta \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} &= \Delta \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \\ \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,1,3} \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array} &= \frac{1}{3} \left((2 + \omega) \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array} - (1 + 2\omega) \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \\ \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,2,3} \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} &= \frac{1}{3} \left(-(1 + 2\omega) \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array} + (2 + \omega) \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^{(0)} &= \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} + \Delta \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}, \\
 f^{(1)} &= \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \\
 f^{(2)} &= \Delta \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The polynomials $\mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,3}f^{(k)}$ are generic in $R_{\mu}(k;3)$. Hence

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,3}, \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,3}f^{(k)}} : \mathbb{C}[S_3]\mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,3} \ni \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,3} \mapsto \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,3}f^{(k)} \in R_{\mu}(k;3)$$

and

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon \otimes e, \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,3}f^{(k)}} : \text{Ind}_{H_{\mu}(3)}^{S_m} Z_{\mu}(k;3) \ni \varepsilon \otimes e \mapsto \mathbf{Z}_{\mu,k,3}f^{(k)} \in R_{\mu}(k;3)$$

are isomorphisms of S_3 -modules.

3.3. The Case of Two Rows. We have the procedure to construct generic elements (Theorem 3.7). In this section, we show Lemma 3.14, which makes the procedure simpler. Then we show Theorem 3.15, which explicitly constructs isomorphisms in the case of the Springer modules corresponding to $\mu = (n, n)$.

Definition 3.11. For $\mu \vdash m$, we define ν_{μ} that maps $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ to r if i lies in the r -th row of t_{μ} .

Lemma 3.12. Let λ and μ be partitions of m . If standard tableaux Q and Q' on λ satisfy $\nu_{\mu} \circ Q = \nu_{\mu} \circ Q'$, then $\mathbf{S}_{\mu}\Delta_Q = \mathbf{S}_{\mu}\Delta_{Q'}$.

Proof. Since standard tableaux Q and Q' on λ satisfy $\nu_{\mu} \circ Q = \nu_{\mu} \circ Q'$, there exists $\sigma \in S_{\mu}$ such that $Q = \sigma Q'$. Hence we have $S_{\mu}\{Q\} = S_{\mu}\{Q'\}$, which implies $\mathbf{S}_{\mu}\Delta_Q = \mathbf{S}_{\mu}\Delta_{Q'}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.13. Let x and y be numbers lying in the same row of t_{μ} . If x and y lie in the same column of Q , then $(x, y) \in S_{\mu}$ acts on Δ_Q by

$$(x, y)\Delta_Q = -\Delta_Q.$$

This implies $\mathbf{S}_{\mu}\Delta_Q = 0$.

Proof. It follows from a direct calculation that

$$(x, y)\Delta_Q = -\Delta_Q.$$

Let $S_\mu = S'_\mu \{ \varepsilon \} \amalg S'_\mu \{ (x, y) \}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in S_\mu} \sigma \Delta_Q &= \sum_{\sigma \in S'_\mu} \sigma \Delta_Q + \sum_{\sigma \in S'_\mu} \sigma(x, y) \Delta_Q \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S'_\mu} \sigma(\varepsilon + (x, y)) \Delta_Q \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S'_\mu} \sigma(\Delta_Q - \Delta_Q) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have $S_\mu \Delta_Q = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.14. *Let λ and μ be partitions of m . Let $\mathcal{T}'_\lambda$ be a maximal subset of $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ such that $\{ S_\mu \Delta_Q \mid Q \in \mathcal{T}'_\lambda \}$ is $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independent. Let $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{T}'_\lambda$. Then $\nu_\mu \circ Q$ is a semi-standard tableau on λ with weight μ . If $Q \neq Q'$, then $\nu_\mu \circ Q \neq \nu_\mu \circ Q'$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.13, $\nu_\mu \circ Q$ is a semi-standard tableau on λ . It is clear that the weight of $\nu_\mu \circ Q$ is μ . Lemma 3.12 implies $\nu_\mu \circ Q \neq \nu_\mu \circ Q'$ if $Q \neq Q'$. $\square$

By Lemma 3.14, it is enough for Step 5 of the procedure of Theorem 3.7 that we consider only the standardizations of semi-standard tableaux with weight μ .

Lastly we consider the case where $m = 2n$ and $\mu = (n, n)$.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $t'_{(2n-k, k)}$ be the standard tableau on $(2n - k, k)$ whose entries in the second row are $\{ n + 1, n + 2, \dots, n + k \}$. Let*

$$f^{(0)} = S_{(n, n)} \sum_{k=0, 2, 4, 6, \dots}^{k \leq n} \Delta_{t'_{(2n-k, k)}},$$

and let

$$f^{(1)} = S_{(n, n)} \sum_{k=1, 3, 5, 7, \dots}^{k \leq n} \Delta_{t'_{(2n-k, k)}}$$

where Δ_P^Q are the images of Specht polynomials.

Then the polynomial $F^{(i)} = Z_{(n, n), i, 2} f^{(i)}$ is generic in $R_{(n, n)}(i; 2)$. Hence $\varphi_{\varepsilon \otimes e, F^{(i)}} : \text{Ind}_{H_{(n, n)}(2)}^{S_{2n}} Z_{n, n}(i; 2) \rightarrow R_{(n, n)}(i; 2)$ and $\varphi_{Z_{(n, n), i, 2}, F^{(i)}} : \mathbb{C}[S_{2n}] Z_{(n, n), i, 2} \rightarrow R_{(n, n)}(i; 2)$ are isomorphisms.

Proof. For all k , tableaux that are standardizations of semi-standard tableaux with weight (n, n) on $(2n - k, k)$ are only $t'_{(2n-k, k)}$. Since $a_{(n, n), 2} = (1, 1 + n)(2, 2 + n) \cdots (n, 2n)$, it follows from a direct calculation that $a_{(n, n), 2} \Delta_{t'_{(2n-k, k)}} = (-1)^k \Delta_{t'_{(2n-k, k)}}$. Hence we have the theorem. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] C. DeConcini and C. Procesi, *Symmetric functions, conjugacy classes, and the flag variety*, Invent. Math. **64** (1981), 203–230.
- [2] R. Hotta, and T. A. Springer, *A specialization theorem for certain Weyl group representations and an application to the Green polynomials of unitary groups*, Invent. Math. **41** (1977), 113–127.
- [3] H. Morita and T. Nakajima, *The coinvariant algebra of the symmetric group as a direct sum of induced modules*, Osaka J. Math. **42** (2005), 217–231.
- [4] H. Morita, *Decomposition of Green polynomials of type A and Springer modules for hooks and rectangles*, preprint, to appear in Adv. Math.
- [5] H. Morita and T. Nakajima, *A formula of Lascoux-Leclerc-Thibon and representations of symmetric groups*, J. Algebraic Combin. **24** (2006), no. 1, 45–60.
- [6] H. Morita, *Green polynomials at roots of unity and Springer modules for the symmetric group*, preprint, to appear in Adv. Math.
- [7] T. A. Springer, *Trigonometric sums, Green functions of finite groups and representations of Weyl groups*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 173–207.
- [8] T. A. Springer, *A Construction of representations of Weyl groups*, Invent. Math. **44** (1978), 279–293.
- [9] T. Tanisaki, *Defining ideals of the closures of conjugacy classes and representations of the Weyl groups*, Tohoku Math. J. **34** (1982), 575–585.
- [10] T. Terasoma and H.-F. Yamada, *Higher Specht polynomials for the symmetric group*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **69** (1993), 41–44.

HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: `nu@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp`